

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY

STATE OF MARYLAND

\*

\*

vs.

\*

Case No.: K-2007-1889

\*

DONALD SCOTT BRUNSTETTER

\*

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

UCS-AJM

**STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S  
MOTION FOR EVALUATION**

Now comes the State, by and through Pamela K. Alban, Assistant State's Attorney for Anne Arundel County, and pursuant to the Defendant's Motion for Evaluation, states as follows:

1. That the State will defer to the Court as to whether ordering an evaluation is appropriate in this case.
2. That in taking such a position, the State wants it clear that it is in no way agreeing to a DHMH commitment in this case.
3. That the State, based on the knowledge it possesses, vehemently opposes such a commitment in this case.
4. That the State reserves the right to be heard at any upcoming hearings.

Respectfully submitted,

*Pamela K. Alban*

---

Pamela K. Alban  
Assistant State's Attorney  
7 Church Circle, Suite 200  
Annapolis, Maryland 21401  
(410) 222-1740  
(410) 222-1196 – fax

2010 JAN 15 P 2:05  
CRIMINAL DEPARTMENT  
FILED

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2010, a copy of the foregoing Answer was mailed, postage prepaid, to Timothy D. Murnane, P.O. Box 125, 801 W. Central Avenue, Davidsonville, Maryland 21035, Attorney for Defendant.

*Pamela K. Alban*

---

Pamela K. Alban  
Assistant State's Attorney